World Fertilizer Trends & Supply and Potassium Research Updates

Leanna Leverich Nigon PhD Candidate | University of Minnesota Director of Agronomy | The Fertilizer Institute

UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | THE FERTILIZER INSTITUTE

Part I: World Fertilizer Markets

Leanna Leverich Nigon

THE FERTILIZER INSTITUTE

Monthly Fertilizer Prices: Jan 2007 – Jan 27, 2023







Global Consumers - 2020







Global Producers - 2021



Global Importers - 2021





Weather Events



Input Costs

Trade Disruptions

Weather Events



Input Costs

INSTITUTE



Cost of Major Inputs into DAP Production

Source: Weekly prices reported in Green Markets (A Bloomberg Company).

Input Costs

THE

INSTITUTE



Trade Disputes

Russian Sanctions



Russian 2022 Fertilizer Revenues Soar, Report Says

() January 20, 2023 ENews, News Briefs, Russia

BUSINESS | MARKETS | News reporting

Russia situation likely to continue to upend fertilizer markets

Russia Increases Fertilizer Export Quotas by Some 0.5 M mt

◎ January 27, 2023 ► Ammonium Nitrate, Featured, News, Russia





Published: August 11, 2022

Source: International Fertilizer Association (IFA), 2021.

Fertilizer Demand



Global Demand (Mt nutrients)



Source: International Fertilizer Association (IFA).

Season Average Crop Prices



Fertilizer Application Adjustments

-Will crop prices remain strong to support fertilizer demand (relative prices)?



-Will poor weather cause a decrease in fertilizer application?





Fertilizer Price: Natural Gas

-Will natural gas prices continue to rise or remain high in the US?



Henry Hub Natural Gas Spot Price

Source: Energy Information Administration (EIA).

Example of European Gas Crisis

-With high natural gas prices, what will happen to producers in Europe?

Dutch TTB Natural Gas Futures Prices



(January 27, 2021, January 27, 2022 – January 27, 2023)

Other Unforeseen Supply Disruptions

Supply Disruptions

-Will there be any events that will disrupt production/supply?

More than 40 million people are under winter weather alerts from Texas to West Virginia, with significant icing likely

By Rob Shackelford and <u>Derek Van Dam</u>, CNN Updated 8:44 AM EST, Mon January 30, 2023

UPDATE 2-FERTILIZER PRODUCER MOSAIC SAYS STOCKPILES TOO HIGH TO RESTART CANADIAN MINE

1/25/2023

Low Mississippi River Barge Disruptions: Effects on Grain Barge Movement, Basis, and Fertilizer Prices

Shawn Arita, Vince Breneman, Seth Meyer, and Brad Rippey Office of the Chief Economist

USDA^[1]

November 2, 2022

No injuries in accidental fertilizer plant fire in southwest Nebraska

A structure fire at a fertilizer plan in southwest Nebraska has been ruled an accident by the Nebraska State Fire Marshal's office.

Sunday, January 15th 2023, 9:36 AM CST

MARKETS AGL (Agritech Limited) 4.33 🛦 0.7%

Fertiliser maker suspends urea production amid RLNG suspension

 Number of companies have either shut or limited their operations, citing import restrictions, energy shortages and low demand

BR Web Desk Published January 4, 2023

Freight railroad service is terrible, even without the threat of a strike

By <u>Chris Isidore</u>, CNN Published 12:07 PM EST, Sun December 11, 2022



Supply & Geopolitics: China & Russia

– When will China return to the global market and how much will volumes rebound?



– What second order impacts are still yet to come from the Russian invasion?



Russia and Ukraine renew a grain export deal to help the hungry and keep prices down

Updated November 17, 2022 · 10:02 AM ET 🌒



Government Support

–How will government support change the dynamics of the markets?

Biden-Harris Administration Makes \$500 Million Available to Increase Innovative **American-Made Fertilizer Production**

New Grant Program Will Spur Competition and Help U.S. Farmers Address Rising Costs

WASHINGTON, Sept. 27, 2022 – U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) Secretary Tom Vilsack today announced that the Biden-Harris Administration is making \$500 million in grants available to increase American-made fertilizer production to spur competition and combat price hikes on U.S. farmers caused by the war in Ukraine.

Press Release Release No. 0207.22 **Contact:** USDA Press Email: press@usda.gov

USDA Announces New Opportunities to Improve Nutrient Management

Historic funding from Inflation Reduction Act an unprecedented investment in American agriculture

WASHINGTON, Aug. 15, 2022 – The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) welcomed the passage of the Inflation Reduction Act, which will deliver \$19.5 billion in new conservation funding to support climate-smart agriculture. This historic funding will bolster the new steps that USDA's Natural Resources Conservation Service (NRCS) announced today to improve opportunities for nutrient management. NRCS will target funding, increasing program flexibilities, launch a new outreach campaign to promote nutrient management's economic benefits, in addition to expanding partnerships to develop nutrient management plans. This is part of USDA's broader effort to address future fertilizer availability and cost challenges for U.S. producers.

Press Release Release No. 0178.22

Contact: USDA Press Email: press@usda.gov

INVESTMENT

The Inflation Reduction Act is already advancing carbon capture

Direct-air capture and blue ammonia projects are planned for Wyoming, Texas



by Craig Bettenhausen

September 13, 2022 | A version of this story appeared in Volume 100, Issue 33

Monthly Fertilizer Prices: Jan 2020 – Jan 27, 2022

\$1,600 \$1,400 \$1,200 Anhydrous Ammonia (Midwest) \$1,000 **Dollars Per Ton** \$800 Diammonium **Phosphate** (Tampa) \$600 \$400 **Potash** (Midwest) \$200 \$0 2020 2021 2022 2023 Source: Weekly prices reported in Green Markets (A Bloomberg Company).

Moving Forward

Navigating Volatility

NITROGEN (N)

- Natural gas prices Europe & US
- Clearance of Russian
 exports
- Chinese export restrictions

PHOSPHATE (P)

- Raw material prices
- Clearance of Russian
 exports
- Chinese export restriction

POTASH (K)

- Rail shipments from Belarus
- Clearance of Russian exports
- New capacity



Navigating Volatility

Understand Needs



Implement 4R Practices



Communicate and Plan



Manage Risk



Part II: Potassium Research Update

Leanna Leverich & Daniel Kaiser





Potassium Pools in Soils





Factors influencing K Availability



University of Minnesota

Factors influencing K Availability





Clay Minerals





Clay Fraction







K Fertility and Clay Interlayers



1:1 Clay Minerals CEC ~ 7 meq/100g (low) No interlayer

2:1 Clay Minerals CEC ~ 40 meq/100g (medium) Can trap (fix) K +

2:1 Clay Minerals CEC ~ 70-120 meq/100g (high) Can trap or release K

Image: SSSA K-12 Soil Science Teacher Resources, Soil Mineralogy

K Fertility and Clay Interlayers



Ratio of Smectite to Illite





A method to capture the amount of smectite and illite in soils

Breakpoint in MN S/I ratio = 2.8

Higher ratio (S/I > 2.8): More Smectite

Lower ratio (S/I < 2.8): More Illite



Critical K Values – cut off values for building soil K

Soil K value where there is no yield benefit to increasing the soil K level



The Build Point (ppm K) for Soils

Soil K value where there is no yield benefit to increasing the soil K level



What does this mean for my soils?

High Smectites

- a. Higher critical soil test K levels
- b. Even though smectites hold more K, that K might not be available to the crop
- c. Higher smectite *may* mean more K fertilizer is required to meet optimum yield

High Illites

- a. **Sufficiency approach** may work best to apply K fertilizer for crop need
- b. If you are using a **build approach**, you may not need to build K as high

Can I measure my own clay mineralogy?

Clay Spatial Variability

- Determined by geological and soil forming factors
- On a square mile basis, not like a routine soil test
- Clay type will likely not vary between fields for an entire farm
- Not affordable ~\$300 per soil sample for mineralogy



Soil Test K for Farm Field



Geology on County Level for MN



Clay Mineral Maps





Kaolinite



Smectite

Factors influencing K Availability



University of Minnesota

Lab Study: Sorption and Release of K





Lab Study: Sorption and Release of K

How well can the soil hold K?

Soil Holding Capacity for K

1. Add K solution at various concentrations (0-300 ppm K) to soils

2. Measure how much K the soil "sorbs" or can hold





1. The sandy soils could not "sorb" additional K (or minimal amounts)



CaClDI water

Background Solution

- 1. The sandy soils could not "sorb" additional K (or minimal amounts)
- 2. A small amount of clay (sandy clay loam) increased K retention

Sandy Clay Loam **Big Stone** มหก.ก. 0 100 200 300 K Concentration Added (ppm)

Background Solution





- 1. The sandy soils could not "sorb" additional K (or minimal amounts)
- 2. A small amount of clay (sandy clay loam) increased K retention



- 1. The sandy soils could not "sorb" additional K (or minimal amounts)
- 2. A small amount of clay (sandy clay loam) increased K retention
- 3. The Ca2+ ion out competed the K+ ion (Ca was sorbed instead)



- 1. The sandy soils could not "sorb" additional K (or minimal amounts)
- 2. A small amount of clay (sandy clay loam) increased K retention
- 3. The Ca2+ ion out competed the K+ ion (Ca was sorbed instead)
- 4. The clay soil was still able to sorb K+ and Ca2+



Factors influencing K Availability: Findings



What's Next for K Recommendations

- Continue to use soybean and corn K guidelines (updated in 2019)
- Keep an eye out for possible updates to separate K recs for sandy soils and/or illitic soils.
 - More study needed
- Do **not** change your fertility practices based on Ca, Mg, or cation ratios

Table 5. Potash fertilizer guidelines for soybean production in Minnesota												
YIELD GOAL	Potassium (K) Soil Test (ppm)											
	0-50	51-100	101-150	151-200	200+							
bu./ac	lbs. K ₂ O / acre to apply*											
< 30	55	35	20	15	0							
30-39	65	50	30	20	0							
40-49	80	60	40	25	0							
50-59	100	75	50	30	0							
60-69	110	85	60	35	0							
70+	120	95	70	40	0							

*Use the following equation to calculate potash fertilizer guidelines for specific yield goals and specific soil test values for K: $K_{2}O_{Recommended} = [2.0 - (0.0088) (K Soil Test, ppm)]$ (Yield Goal)

Table 11. Broadcast (Bdcst) and band potash guidelines for corn production in Minnesota.*													
	Soil test K (ppm)												
	Very Low		Low		Medium		High		Very High				
Expected	0-50		51-100		101-150		151-200		200+				
Yield	Bdcst	Band	Bdcst	Band	Bdcst	Band	Bdcst	Band	Bdcst	Band			
bu/acre	K ₂ O per acre to apply (lbs per acre)												
151 - 175	160	75	115	60	70	45	20	10-15	0	10-15			
176 - 200	185	90	135	70	80	50	25	10-15	0	10-15			
201 - 225	210	105	155	80	90	55	30	10-15	0	10-15			
226 - 250	235	120	165	85	100	60	35	10-15	0	10-15			
250 +	255	130	180	90	110	65	40	15-20	0	10-15			

* Use one of the following equations if a K_2O guideline for a specific soil test value and a specific expected yield is desired.

 $K_2O_{suggested} = [1.12 - 0.0056 (Soil Test K, ppm)] (expected yield)$

No potash fertilizer is suggested if the soil test for K is 200 ppm or greater.

Thank you! Questions

Leanna Nigon | lever115@umn.edu Daniel Kaiser | dekaiser@umn.edu



University of Minnesota



